

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,071	10/03/2001	John F. Ranta	B-26	9065
21253	7590 06/25/2004		EXAMINER	
CHARLES C	-	SAADAT, CAMERON		
68 HORSE POND ROAD WEST YARMOUTH, MA 02673-2516		516	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3713	
		510		

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/970,071	RANTA ET AL.	
,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, ,, ,, ,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cameron Saadat	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		Joe H. Che Primary Exam	ng niper
			r

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The following new claim limitations require further consideration and/or search: "storing volumetric data defining the location of at least one isosurface in a model of a tooth; storing point data defining positions of a plurality of feel points that model the surface of a dental tool having a handle; employing a processor to compare a location of at least one isosurface in the model of a tooth with said positions of said feel points that model the surface of a dental tool to calculate and apply computer-controlled interation forces".